OCT 2 7 2004

1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10036-8403 TEL 212 382 0700 FAX 212 382 0888 FAX 212 398 0681

email@ostrolenk.com

PARTNERS SAMUTAL IL WEINER ROBERT C PABER MAX MOSKOWITZ JAMES A. PINIDER WILLIAM O GRAY, III LOUIS C. DUMICH

CHARLES P LAPOLLA

DOUGLAS A. MIRO ALFRED R. PABRICANT LAWRENCE C. DRUCKER JOEL J. FELBER**

ASSOCIATES PETER S. SLOANE MARY G. FONTENOT BRENDAN J. KENNEDY** KOUROSH SALEHI** DOUGLAS Q. HAHN GLEN R. FARBANISH

OF COUNSEL MARTIN PFEFFUR LEON ZITVER-LAWRENCE A HOFFMAN MARTIN J. DERAN PAUL GRANDINETTI* JOSEPH J. ZITO* KLAUS P. STOFFEL MARK A. FARLEY

WASHINGTON OFFICE 1725 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006 TEL 202 #57 7785 PAX 202 429 8919

'DC BAR **CONNECTICAL BAR

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

DATE:	October 27, 2004		
		NUMBER OF PAGES, INCL	UDING COVER: 22
TO:			
	NAME/COMPANY	FACSIMILE NO.	
	Susanna M. Diaz t Unit: 3623	703-872-9306	SUCCESSFULLY FAXED
			☐ SUCCESSFULLY FAXED
		'	☐ SUCCESSFULLY FAXED
FROM:	James A. Finder		· ·
OFGS FII	LE NO.: <u>P/3748-4</u>	RETURN TO:	IJF
IF YOU	DID NOT RECEIVE ALL THE	PACES, PLEASE PHONE (212) 382-	0700 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.
agent respons	or exempt from disclosure under applicatible for delivering the message to an inte	lividual(s) to which it is addressed, and matable law. If the reader of this message is not ended recipient, you are hereby notified that a nave received this communication in error, p dress by mail. Thank you.	an intended recipient, or the employee or my dissemination, distribution or copying
MESSAG	E-		

Re:

U.S. Patent Application No. 09/758,509

SUPPLY CHAIN ARCHITECTURE

See attached Submission.

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER** OCT 2 7 2004

P/3748-4

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Derek LIDOW

Date: October 27, 2004

Serial No.: 09/758,509

Group Art Unit: 3623

Filed: January 11, 2001

Examiner: Susanna M. Diaz

For: SUPPLY CHAIN ARCHITECTURE

VIA FACSIMILE (703) 872-9306

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: Examiner Susanna M. Diaz

SUBMISSION

Attached herewith for the convenience of the Examiner are:

- 1. an Information Disclosure Statement and form listing citations of art;
- 2. a Submission in accordance with M.P.E.P. §713.04 to make the substance of a telephone interview between the Examiner and the undersigned on October 20, 2004 of record; and
 - 3. a Supplemental Amendment to the Office Action mailed April 23, 2004.

The art included with the Information Disclosure Statement was cited in corresponding divisional applications (Serial Numbers 10/219,611, 10/223,227 and 10/219,612).

The foregoing was discussed with the Examiner by telephone on October 26, 2004.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, facsimile number (703) 872-9306 on October 27, 2004:

James A. Finder

and of applicant, assignee or d Representative

Signature

October 27, 2004

Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No.: 30,173

OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP

1180 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036-8403

Telephone: (212) 382-0700

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
OCT 2 7 2004

P/3748-4

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Derek LIDOW

Date: October 27, 2004

Serial No.: 09/758,509

Group Art Unit: 3623

Filed: January 11, 2001

Examiner: Susanna M. Diaz

For: SUPPLY CHAIN ARCHITECTURE

VIA FACSIMILE (703) 872-9306

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: Examiner Susanna M. Diaz

SUBMISSION IN ACCORDANCE WITH M.P.E.P. §713.04

Sir:

A telephone interview between the Examiner and the undersigned took place on October 20, 2004. In accordance with M.P.E.P. §713.04, applicant submits the following:

- (A) A Brief Description of Exhibit

 No exhibits or demonstrations were shown.
- (B) <u>Identification of Claims</u> Independent claim 133 was discussed.
- (C) <u>Identification of Prior Art</u> No prior art was discussed.
- (D) <u>Identification of Proposed Substantive Amendments</u>
 The Examiner proposed an amendment to claim 133, namely the deletion of the words, "at least one of" at line 10.

As proposed, amended claim 133 would provide that the step of analyzing includes determining whether converted customer forecasted demands represent a known customer, whether the converted customer forecasted demands comply with contractual terms, whether the converted customer forecasted demands are complete, whether the converted

00675444.1

customer forecasted demands are accurate, whether the converted customer forecasted demands adhere to previous forecasts, whether the converted customer forecasted demands adhere to previous buying patterns, and whether the converted customer forecasted demands represent requests within agreed-to capacities.

- (E) <u>Brief Identification of Principal Arguments</u>
 With respect to the prior art cited under 35 USC 102 and 103, the amendment further distinguishes the invention from the prior art.
 - (F) Indication of Pertinent Matters
- (G) Outcome of the Interview
 The Examiner said that the amendment would distinguish the invention from the prior art.
 - (H) A Paper Copy of the Interview Via Internet E-mail
 Not applicable.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, facsimile number 703-872-9306, on: October 27, 2004:

	James A. Finder	_
· · · · · ·	Name of applicant, assignee or Registered Representative	٠.
	Signature	
	October 27, 2004	_
	Date of Signature	

Respectfully submitted,

James A. Finder

Registration No.: 30,173

OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP

1180 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-8403

Telephone: (212) 382-0700